

DEMONSTRATING THE SUB-NM SENSITIVITY OF PYRAMID WFS FOR SPACE AO



Briguglio, R., Agapito, G., Del Vecchio, C., Pinna, E., Xompero, M., Arcidiacono, C., Terreri, A., Pedichini, F. **INAF Istituto Nazione Astrofisica**

Context

Next generation space telescopes

Typical REQUIREMENTS:

- > 8 m diameter
- Diff.- limited in V
- Coronagraphic contrast: 10⁻¹⁰
- WF stability: < 1 nm
- \rightarrow Active control of optical surfaces:

PSF sensing: a mainstream approach. But: -Mode signatures entanlged (at focal plane) -overwhelming bias signal

Pupil plane, Pyramid WFSensor: Demonstrated to be more sensitive than SH at low spatial scale

Pyr - WFS

Glass pyramid at telescope focus Light from the guide star split into 4 pupil images Camera lens to adjust position/zoom on CCD

- Intrinsically sensitive to phase steps (diff. piston)
- Highest sensitivity at low orders (for coronagraphy)



Pyr-WFS for space Active Optics?

- → Sensitivity in quasi diffr. limited regime?
- WF stability after loop convergence? \rightarrow

The SPLATT simulations SPLATT pupil SPLATT offset 1.6E+0 9.7E+0 3.75+0 2.3E+0 8.2E+(PWFS close loop Mirror Surface error RMS [nm] Standard deviation of WFE \rightarrow WF stability < 1nm 10

Numerical code integrating:

- Segmented-deformable primary mirror
- Pyr-WFS
- Control loop

Specific test case:

- M1 diameter:
- 2.04 m 7
- # segments: #act./segm:
- 19 Act. Influence functions; PyrWFS calibration;



Mirror Surface error [m]

50

100

150

Time after close loop [s]

200

250

1 Ο