Interstitial Doppler optical coherence tomography monitors microvascular changes during photodynamic therapy in a Dunning prostate model under varying treatment conditions
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Abstract. We measure the tumor vascular response to varying irradiance rates during photodynamic therapy (PDT) in a Dunning rat prostate model with interstitial Doppler optical coherence tomography (IS-DOCT). Rats are given a photosensitizer drug, Photofrin, and the tumors are exposed to light (635 nm) with irradiance rates ranging from 8 to 133 mW/cm² for 25 min, corresponding to total irradiance of 12 to 200 J/cm² (measured at surface). The vascular index computed from IS-DOCT results shows the irradiance rate and total irradiance dependent microvascular shutdown in the tumor tissue during PDT. While faster rates of vascular shutdown were associated with increasing PDT irradiance rate and total irradiance, a threshold effect was observed as irradiance rates above 66 mW/cm² (surface), where no further increase in vascular shutdown rate was detected. The maximum post-treatment vascular shutdown (81%) without immediate microcirculatory recovery was reached with the PDT condition of 33 mW/cm² and 50 J/cm². Control groups without Photofrin show no significant microvascular changes. Microvascular shutdown occurs at different rates and shows correlation with PDT total irradiance and irradiance rates. These dependencies may play an important role in PDT treatment planning, feedback control for treatment optimization, and post-treatment assessment.© 2007 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.2744068]
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1 Introduction

Doppler optical coherence tomography1,2 (DOCT) is a promising imaging modality that provides a functional extension of optical coherence tomography3 (OCT). DOCT exhibits the high spatial resolution of OCT, enabling visualization of structures at near histological levels, and also yields4 velocity resolutions as low as 20 μm/s. This combination is attractive for biomedical applications and has yielded noninvasive blood flow detection in human retina,5 skin,6 and gastrointestinal (GI) tract.7 Along with diagnostic detection of blood flow, DOCT offers a novel method of monitoring microvascular changes due to therapeutic intervention with unprecedented spatial and blood flow velocity resolutions.3,9 However, many clinical applications require high-resolution imaging of deeply seated tissues, and these anatomical sites cannot be reached by conventional OCT due to its limited penetration depth of 1 to 3 mm in nontransparent tissues. Consequently, in vivo imaging of microvasculature using DOCT has been limited to transparent organs (e.g., the eye) or...
near-surface applications such as epithelial layers of the GI
tract. Needle-based interstitial DOCT (IS-DOCT) has been
previously described as a useful technique for in vivo assess-
ment of microvasculature and microstructure at a greater
depth, providing potential accessibility of DOCT to anatomical
sites such as the brain, liver, pancreas, or prostate.10

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an emerging treatment that
causes cellular and/or vascular tissue damage through photosensitizers. Tumor destruction is associated with PDT’s ability to
induce cellular apoptosis,11 activate the host immune system,12 and/or cause vascular damage,13 depending on the photosensitizer and other treatment parameters. Foster et al.14 have outlined a photodynamic mechanism whereby high-irradiance-rate exposure of photosensitized tissue results in the consumption of molecular oxygen at a rate faster than can be supplied by the vascular network. This results in the reduced production of the highly oxidative singlet oxygen species, which is thought to be the main cytotoxic agent leading to cell death.15 Monitoring the vascular effects during PDT may be useful for tracking an individual tumor’s response to treatment. This could yield dynamic feedback mechanisms that could be used to minimize treatment shortcomings, such as suboptimal oxygen consumption rates. IS-DOCT offers a unique minimally invasive imaging opportunity for monitoring the vascular response of PDT as it can acquire simultaneous microstructural and microvascular images of a localized region of tumor in real time. The aim of this study was to demonstrate the ability of IS-DOCT to monitor and quantify the microvascular response of PDT, as a function of varying light irradiance rates and total irradiances.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 IS-DOCT Probe and System

We previously described the 8-kHz time-domain DOCT sys-
tem and IS-DOCT needle probe used in this study.16,17 Briefly,
the DOCT system utilizes a near-IR source at a 1.3-μm center
wavelength, with a coherence length of ~10 μm in tissue and
a Doppler flow sensitivity of ~0.1 mm/s at 1 frame/s (fps) in vivo. The IS-DOCT needle probe uses a 22G echogenic needle (~0.7 mm outer diameter) that is compatible with interventional radiological guidance, and is actuated by a linear scanner via flexible catheters. The probe is driven longitudi-
nally inside the catheter by a linear scanner, forming a 2-D DOCT image when combined with the coherence depth scan-
ing. The lens consists of a 145-μm-diam cladding and 100-μm-diam core multimode gradient-index (GRIN) fiber, fusion spliced to an unbuffered tip of a 125-μm cladding diameter single-mode fiber. The GRIN fiber is cleaved and polished at ~0.27 pitch to focus light at a distance of ~400 μm outside of the catheter after fusion splicing to a 50-angle polished, coreless fiber tip for total internal reflec-
tion.

2.2 Animal Model

This study used 45 male Copenhagen rats (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, Massachusetts, USA) housed in standard conditions under a protocol approved by the institutional animal care committee at Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto, Canada.

### Table 1 Experimental conditions in the IS-DOCT/PDT study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Conditions</th>
<th>Irradiance Rate (mW/cm²)</th>
<th>Total Irradiance (J/cm²)</th>
<th>Number of Animals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photofrin group 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photofrin group 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photofrin group 3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photofrin group 4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photofrin group 5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (probe only)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (probe + light)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mat-Ly-Lu rat prostate cancer cells were derived from the subline R3327-AT-1 rat prostate cells and were maintained as cell cultures in growth media (RPMI 1640 media +L-glutamine, 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin and streptomycin). Note 5 to 10% of the cells were resuspended every other day to prevent overgrowth. Cells were harvested and prepared at 2 × 10⁶ cells/ml for injection.

All animals received intradermal bolus injections of 0.1 ml of the injectate in the hind leg after removal of hair and sterilization of the skin. Each tumor was grown for 7 to 10 days or until a diameter of 0.5 to 1 cm was reached. The tumor size was measured along the length, width, and height axes with callipers. Thirty-four of the tumor-bearing rats were injected intravenously with 12.5 mg/kg of Photofrin® (Axcan Pharma, Mont-Saint-Hilaire, QC, Canada), while the remaining 10 were split into two control groups without Photofrin. The first group measured the effects of the probe on the microvascular environment. The second control group was used to measure the effects of the probe and maximum irradiance rate (133 mW/cm², 200 J/cm² total irradiance) on the microvascular environment. All rats were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane and oxygen at 2.5 L/min through a nose cone for the IS-DOCT imaging procedures. The rats were kept at body temperature (~37°C) during all experimental procedures with a heating pad. Twenty-four hours postimaging, the animals were euthanized with an intracardiac injection of ethanol (0.5 ml) and the tumors were resected for histology.

2.3 PDT Treatment and IS-DOCT Imaging

Photofrin was injected via the tail vein 20 to 24 h prior to PDT treatment. The tumor surface was irradiated superficially through the intact skin with a 635-nm broad-beam diode laser (University Health Network, Laboratory of Applied Biophotonics, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) collimated to a 1-cm-diam spot size. The animals were chosen at random to populate five different irradiance rate groups (n = 35: 8, 16, 33, 66, and 133 mW/cm²) and the two control groups (n = 10: probe only, probe + light). The total irradiance, delivered over 25 min, was 12, 24, 50, 99, and 200 J/cm², respectively. A summary of the experimental parameters is shown in Table 1.

IS-DOCT was used to collect structural, color Doppler, and velocity variance images before, during, and after PDT.
treatment at an imaging rate of 1 fps. The needle probe was inserted interstitially toward the bottom margin of the tumor with the imaging direction toward the tumor bulk, to detect the deep microvasculature, as seen in Fig. 1. This imaging geometry and viewing direction were chosen such that the IS-DOCT probe would assess the PDT effects deep within the tumor, while not acquiring data in its own shadow.

PDT treatment was started after blood vessels were located using IS-DOCT. Imaging was continuous and divided into three periods: before \((t=0\) to \(10\) min), during \((t=10\) to \(35\) min), and after \((t=35\) to \(45\) min) treatment. The cross-sectional areas of the blood vessels were quantified by the summation of pixel counts in the color-coded regions in the velocity variance images. This pixel count summation for each image was then averaged for each minute; we define this value as the vascular index \(^3\) (VI). The VI for each minute of imaging was then normalized to the highest value throughout the imaging session. The changes in detected blood flow could then be quantified by analyzing the normalized vascular index (NVI) as a function of time before, during, and after PDT treatment.

### 2.4 Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using JMP v6.0. (JMP, Cary, North Carolina, USA). All error bars in figures and in the text are presented as standard error. The rate of change of the NVI during PDT was obtained by linear regression of the IS-DOCT data. To test the prediction that there were no significant differences between variables under test (null hypothesis), the Wilcoxon rank sum test \((H)\) was used to compare the mean rate of change of the NVI for the different irradiance rates. The \(\chi^2\) distribution with \(v=k−1\) degrees of freedom \((k=number\ of\ groups)\) closely approximates\(^1\) the distribution of \(H\). Therefore, the null hypothesis was tested by computing \(H\) and comparing this result with the critical values for \(\chi^2\) and labeled as Prob > ChiSq. A Prob > ChiSq value greater than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. For all instances where the null hypothesis was found to be false, the power was then calculated to determine the likelihood of the experiment to detect significant differences. All tests used an \(\alpha\) level of 0.05.

### 3 Results

Tumors were successfully grown in all 45 rats, with mean length of \(0.97±0.04\) cm, width of \(0.87±0.03\) cm, and height of \(0.55±0.03\) cm, as measured by callipers. Neither treatment nor tumor-induced deaths occurred. Short-term PDT responses, including erythema and edema, were observed before sacrifice in all 35 rats undergoing PDT treatment. Neither erythema nor edema were observed in the control animals.

In vivo monitoring of PDT using IS-DOCT was performed in all tumor-bearing animals. Figure 2 shows examples of the detected vasculature presented via the color Doppler and velocity variance images overlaid onto the structural images. A typical sequence of velocity variance images obtained in the course of the PDT treatment (Fig. 3) demonstrated several vessels that underwent complete vascular shutdown, with partial recovery of some vessels post treatment.

It was previously demonstrated that the PDT response can be heterogeneous within the tumor, depending on treatment conditions such as local photosensitizer concentration,\(^19\) tumor oxygenation,\(^20\) and fluence rate.\(^21\) Resulting intratumor variations may affect the local vascular response of PDT as measured by the IS-DOCT system. Such a heterogeneous PDT response may be sampled by multiple IS probes positioned at appropriate locations in the tumor, analogous to multifiber fluence rate measurement techniques currently employed in clinical trials of PDT in the prostate.\(^22\)

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show that no significant changes occurred in the NVI for the two control groups. In comparison, Figs. 5(a)–5(f) reveal the decreasing trend in the NVI during treatment as a function of varying PDT irradiance rates and total irradiance. Figure 6(a) shows that the maximum vascular shutdown of \(81±5\%\) (in other words, only \(19\%)\ of the pre-treatment vasculature remained) without significant post-treatment recovery occurring at an intermediate irradiance rate of \(33\ \text{mW/cm}^2\) \((50 \text{ J/cm}^2\) total light irradiance). This vascular shutdown is statistically different from all other treatment conditions.

Measurements acquired by IS-DOCT during PDT resulted in quantifiable irradiance rate dependencies, as shown in Fig.
The rate of vascular shutdown, as measured by IS-DOCT, was calculated via linear regression during the PDT treatment time ($t = 10$ to $35$ min). As the irradiance rate was increased from 8 to 133 mW/cm$^2$, a change in the rate of vascular shutdown was measured, as demonstrated in Fig. 6(b). The vascular shutdown rate at the 66- and 133-mW/cm$^2$ irradiance rates were found to be statistically equivalent with a $\text{Prob} > \text{ChiSq}$ value of 0.95 and a power value of 0.05, while all other vascular shutdown rates were found to be statistically different.

### 4 Discussion

Previous DOCT studies reported that a major drawback of imaging the vascular effects of PDT is that the interrogated regions are limited to subepithelial surfaces, luminal surfaces, and transparent organs. This study demonstrates that it is technically feasible to monitor the microvascular PDT response deep within tissue, overcoming the previously mentioned tissue accessibility limitations of DOCT.

In this study, irradiance rate and total-irradiance-dependent trends were quantified using the IS-DOCT normalized vascular index, revealing general trends of the microvascular response to varying light dose conditions. Minimal changes in the vascular index of the two control groups (probe only, probe + light) were observed, but were not statistically significant when compared to one another or any other treatment groups. As the irradiance rate and total light irradiance were increased, more vascular shutdown was achieved; however, some post-treatment recovery of the microvasculature was also observed. This reduction in the vascular index during

---

**Fig. 3** Sequence of IS-DOCT images of a Dunning prostate tumor in a rat model before ($t = 0$ to $10$ min), during ($t = 10$ to $35$ min), and after ($t = 35$ to $45$ min) PDT light exposure. Blood flow was color-coded via the detected velocity variance images. Reduction in vessel cross-sectional area was observed during treatment, and slight vascular recovery occurred post-treatment. (Color online only).

**Fig. 4** (a) Normalized average vascular index of control group where the probe was inserted into the tumor (no light or photosensitizer) and (b) control group with probe insertion plus light exposure at maximum irradiance rate of 133 mW/cm$^2$, resulting in a total irradiance of 200 J/cm$^2$ (no photosensitizer).
PDT, with reprofusion of blood occurring post-PDT, exhibited similar trends observed to our previous noninterstitial DOCT studies in normal rat colon and IS-DOCT Dunning prostate model. The intermediate irradiance rate of 33 mW/cm² appeared to provide the maximum vascular shutdown, without significant recovery during the 10 min of IS-DOCT imaging post-treatment, although longer observation would be required to assess whether this was a permanent effect. A vascular shutdown threshold response was observed, where the rate of vascular response and total vascular shutdown did not

Fig. 5 Irradiance rate and total irradiance dependencies as measured by IS-DOCT: (a) lowest PDT irradiance rate of 8 mW/cm², resulting in a total irradiance of 12 J/cm²; (b) irradiance rate of 16 mW/cm², resulting in a total irradiance of 24 J/cm²; (c) PDT irradiance rate of 33 mW/cm², resulting in a total irradiance of 50 J/cm²; (d) PDT irradiance rate of 66 mW/cm², resulting in a total irradiance of 99 J/cm²; (e) highest PDT irradiance rate of 133 mW/cm², resulting in a total irradiance of 200 J/cm²; and (f) comparison of irradiance rate and total irradiance responses.
statistically change above an irradiance rate of 66 mW/cm² or total irradiance of 99 J/cm². The largest percentage of vascular reprofusion post-PDT also occurred at these irradiance rates and total irradiance. This is important, as the efficacy of PDT is directly related to the production of singlet oxygen through the supply of molecular oxygen.26 Using an irradiance or total irradiance value that shuts down the vasculature too abruptly or too drastically during PDT will limit the supply of molecular oxygen to the region of interest. This will result in an ineffective treatment, as will a very low irradiance (rate). This emphasizes the fact that PDT is a complex and dynamic process requiring accurate, real-time assessments of treatment delivery and therapeutic response. IS-DOCT may fulfill these requirements, but difficulty remains in deriving the optimal IS-DOCT/PDT monitoring metrics and how they will be used to predict treatment response and outcome.

Although this study did not investigate treatment outcome, it has been previously shown by Henderson et al.28 that for every increase in irradiance rate, a similar increase in total irradiance was required to achieve tumor cure. Different microvascular responses, as measured by the IS-DOCT system, may correlate to both the irradiance rate and the total irradiance received by the tumor. Further experimentation is required to define the relative importance of these two parameters on the microvascular response, and how they affect PDT treatment progression and outcome.

Several new directions will be pursued for better quantification of this work. First are histological studies, including TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transference biotin-dUTP nick end labeling), caspase-3, and factor VIII immunohistochemical staining, will be conducted to correlate total irradiance and irradiance rate vascular effects as observed by histology with IS-DOCT results. Second, the clinically validated field of Doppler ultrasound imaging has several potential signal processing tools for quantifying vasculature, of which power Doppler (PD) is of particular interest. We are currently investigating PD signal processing for OCT as a method to improve our ability to quantify the vascular response of PDT. Third, under high-frequency ultrasound guidance, the 3-D tumor morphology can be imaged. These images can then be used to approximate the epidermal thickness and 3-D position of the interstitial probe, enabling calculations of light propagation in tissue, to approximate the actual subsurface fluence in the region imaged by IS-DOCT. In addition, comparisons of IS-DOCT imaging metrics to other measurable microvascular responses (e.g., derived from intravital microscopy or micro-CT data) should further elucidate the relationship between tumor microvasculature (changes) and PDT (response). This in turn may provide the necessary tools for accurate real-time guidance and early assessment of the therapeutic efficacy of PDT.

5 Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrate the ability of IS-DOCT to detect and monitor microvascular changes prior to, during, and after PDT deep within the tumor in real time, yielding quantitative measures of the vascular response to different treatment conditions. IS-DOCT may be an effective tool for high-resolution, real-time visualization and monitoring of the tissue microvascular response to PDT. This capability may play an important role in pretreatment planning, feedback control for treatment optimization, determining treatment endpoints, and post-treatment assessment. With the interstitial probe capability, DOCT is no longer restricted to subepithelial, intraluminal, or transparent organ imaging. This technique may be used to image microstructure and microvasculature on deeply situated organs such as the liver, brain, or prostate. Further, quantifying vascular targeted drugs and imaging early embryonic development in vivo are envisioned.
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