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1 Introduction

The Hitomi (ASTRO-H) satellite was launched from Tanegashima Space Center of Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) at 17:45 JST on February 17, 2016 (Fig. 1). After successfully executing start-up operations, the satellite lost contact with the ground on March 26, with signatures of partial breakup of the spacecraft. On April 28, JAXA decided to discontinue the recovery operation of Hitomi. Even though the lifetime was short, the commissioning phase for about a month provided valuable information on the onboard instruments and the spacecraft system, including astrophysical results obtained from first light observations. This paper reports the mission purpose of Hitomi and the technologies achieved through the operations carried out in the commissioning phase.

Our image of the Universe has been dramatically changing from static to dynamic on many scales. X-ray measurements have efficiently detected dynamical and energetic properties of cosmic objects, based on significant improvements in the sensitivity for timing, imaging, and spectroscopic studies. High-resolution x-ray images provided by Chandra, in particular, show dynamical features in the form of shocks, cosmic jets, and outflows of hot gasses. Clusters of galaxies are the largest gravitationally bound objects in the Universe. Many of these systems are now recognized to be undergoing violent mergers characterized by shocks and cold fronts in x-ray and radio images. Supermassive black holes are found in many galaxies, surrounded by a dense gas in some cases, and their coevolution with the host galaxies over the cosmological timescale is an important issue still to be understood. Precise velocity and ionization state of the plasma within these objects, as well as heated component or emission from accelerated particles cannot be identified only by image. Breakthroughs in x-ray observation techniques would bring new views about the evolution of these objects.

High-resolution spectroscopy combined with a wideband energy coverage is a very powerful way of looking into the dynamical evolution of the Universe through x-ray observations. Energy resolution as high as $\Delta E \leq 7$ eV in full-width at half maximum (FWHM) would enable to measure Doppler motions with an accuracy of $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$ at 6 keV and also can diagnose the detail of ionization state of metals. Sensitivity up to 600 keV, combined with imaging capability up to 80 keV, will show the highest energy regions in the spectrum and the spatial distribution of the accelerated particles. Our current knowledge on how much and in what form the nonthermal energy is produced and carried is still quite poor. The great improvements in the energy resolution and wideband coverage of Hitomi represent a substantial advance in our ability to probe all forms of energy in cosmic objects, such as clusters of galaxies and supermassive black holes. Such an improvement in x-ray astronomy also matches well with the recent progress occurring in radio and gamma-ray bands.

The Hitomi (ASTRO-H) mission has been designed to achieve these aims.1-8 This is an international x-ray satellite, which was launched with the 30th H-IIA rocket. NASA selected U.S. participation on ASTRO-H as a Mission of Opportunity in the Explorer Program category. Under this program, the NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center collaborates with ISAS/JAXA on the implementation of the x-ray microcalorimeter and the Soft X-ray Telescopes (SXTs) (SXS Proposal NASA/GSFC, 2007).9 Other institutional members of the collaboration are SRON (Netherlands Institute for Space Research), Geneva University, CEA/IRFU [French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA)/Institute of Research into the Fundamental Laws of the Universe (IRFU)], CSA (Canadian Space Agency), Stanford University, and European Space Agency. In early 2009, NASA, ESA, and JAXA selected 13 science advisors to provide scientific guidance to the ASTRO-H project. The ESA contribution to the ASTRO-H mission includes the procurement of payload hardware elements that enhance the scientific capability of the mission.

2 Spacecraft

There are four focusing telescopes mounted on the top of a fixed optical bench (FOB). Two of the four telescopes are SXTs and they have a 5.6-m focal length. They focus soft-energy x-rays ($E = 0.3$ to 12 keV) onto focal plane detectors mounted on the base plate of the spacecraft (see Fig. 2). One SXT points to a microcalorimeter spectrometer array in the Soft X-ray Spectrometer (SXS) with excellent energy resolution of $< 7$ eV, and the other SXT points to a large-area CCD array in the Soft
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X-ray Imager (SXI). The other two telescopes are Hard X-ray Telescopes (HXTs) capable of focusing high-energy x-rays ($E = 5$ to $80$ keV). The focal length of the HXTs is $12$ m. The Hard X-ray Imager (HXI) consists of two detector units, which are mounted on the HXI plate, at the end of a $6$-m extensible optical bench (EOB) that is stowed to fit in the launch fairing and deployed once in orbit. To extend the energy coverage to the soft $\gamma$-ray region up to $600$ keV, the Soft Gamma-ray Detector (SGD) was implemented as a nonfocusing detector. Two SGD detectors are mounted separately on two sides of the satellite. With these instruments, Hitomi covered the entire bandpass between $0.3$ and $600$ keV. The key parameters of those instruments are summarized in Table 1.

The lightweight design of the EOB renders it potentially vulnerable to distortions from thermal fluctuations in the low-Earth orbit (LEO) and spacecraft attitude maneuvers. Over the long exposures associated with x-ray observing, such fluctuations might impair HXI image quality unless a compensation technique is employed. To provide the required corrections, the Canadian contribution is a laser metrology system (the Canadian ASTRO-H Metrology System, CAMS) aiming at measuring displacements in the alignment of the HXT optical path. Two laser and detector modules (CAMS-LD-1 and CAMS-LD-2) are located on the top plate of the FOB, and two passive target modules (CAMS-T-1 and CAMS-T-2), each consisting of a retroreflector (corner cube mirror), are mounted on the EOB detector plate (HXI plate).

Almost all of onboard subsystems, such as the command/data handling system, the attitude control system, and four types of x-ray/gamma-ray telescope instruments, are connected to the SpaceWire network using a highly redundant topology. The number of physical SpaceWire links among components exceeds $140$ connecting $\sim 40$ separated components (i.e., separated boxes), and there are more links in intracomponent (intraboard) networks. Most of the electronics boxes of both the spacecraft bus and the scientific instruments are mounted on the side panels of the spacecraft. The electronics boxes for the HXI are mounted on the HXI plate and the side panels of the spacecraft. The spacecraft carried the GPS receiver, whose timing was synchronized among electronics under the SpaceWire network within the $35$-\mu s accuracy. The overall timing performance has been verified in orbit at about $300$-\mu s absolute accuracy by the simultaneous observation of a neutron-star pulsar with the Kashima NICT radio observatory.

### 3 On-Orbit Operation

ASTRO-H was injected into an approximately circular orbit with an altitude of $575$ km and an inclination of $31$ deg (Table 2). The launch operation went smoothly, and the orbit was exactly as planned. ASTRO-H was named Hitomi, which means the pupil of the eye in Japanese, and it was hoped that the observatory will function as a powerful eye to look into the x-ray emitting processes operating in a wide range of objects. As mentioned before, the Hitomi satellite lost contact with the ground on March 26. The reports on the analysis of the cause of the anomalous events are given on the JAXA homepage.

After initial operations of the spacecraft, including the tuning of the attitude control system and the start-up of the SXS coolers, we commenced operation of the EOB at the end of which the HXI plate was mounted. On February 27, we turned on the electronics of the EOB (EOB-E). On the same day, lasers of two CAMS-LD were turned on, in order to monitor the orientation of the EOB during its deployment. On the next day, we started deployment of the EOB. Since the HXI plate was quite massive, $\sim 150$ kg in total, a lateral shake developed by degrees as the EOB mast was being extended. This is because the mast is not stiff enough during deployment to sustain the massive
Table 1 Key parameters of the payload.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HXI</th>
<th>SXS</th>
<th>SXI</th>
<th>SGD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detector technology</td>
<td>Si/CdTe cross-strips</td>
<td>Microcalorimeter</td>
<td>X-ray CCD</td>
<td>Si/CdTe Compton Camera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal length</td>
<td>12 m</td>
<td>5.6 m</td>
<td>5.6 m</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective area</td>
<td>300 cm² at 30 keV</td>
<td>300 cm² at 6 keV</td>
<td>350 cm² at 6 keV</td>
<td>&gt;20 cm² at 100 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy range</td>
<td>5 to 80 keV</td>
<td>0.3 to 12 keV</td>
<td>0.4 to 12 keV</td>
<td>60 to 600 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy resolution (FWHM)</td>
<td>&lt;2 keV (at 60 keV)</td>
<td>&lt;7 eV (at 6 keV)</td>
<td>&lt;200 eV (at 6 keV)</td>
<td>&lt;4 keV (at 60 keV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular resolution</td>
<td>1.7 arc min (at 30 keV)</td>
<td>~1.2 arc min</td>
<td>~1.3 arc min</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective field of view</td>
<td>~9 × 9 arc min²</td>
<td>~3 × 3 arc min²</td>
<td>~38 × 38 arc min²</td>
<td>&lt;0.6 × 0.6 deg² (&lt;150 keV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time resolution</td>
<td>25.6 μs</td>
<td>5 μs</td>
<td>4/2/0.5/0.1 s</td>
<td>25.6 μs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating temperature</td>
<td>−25°C</td>
<td>50 mK</td>
<td>−120°C</td>
<td>−20°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Hitomi mission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Launch site</td>
<td>Tanegashima Space Center, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launch vehicle</td>
<td>JAXA H-IIA rocket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit altitude (apogee)</td>
<td>576.5 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit altitude (perigee)</td>
<td>574.4 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit type</td>
<td>Approximate circular orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit inclination</td>
<td>31 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length</td>
<td>14 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>2.7 metric ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>&lt;3500 W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HXI plate since the mast is composed of multiple joints and hence has some mechanical looseness. Accordingly, lateral angular velocity sometimes approached a software limit, jitter of the HXI plate is as small as ~0.2 mm. This is comparable or smaller than the pixel size of the HXI (0.25 mm). The stability of the image at the focal plane was monitored with CAMS. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the movement of the focal plane image is <400 μm corresponding to about 7 arc sec. We conclude that we achieved a highly stable EOB.

The microcalorimeter array of SXS has a linear size of 5 mm and is located at the focal plane of the telescope SXT-S. This gives the angular size covered by SXS to be 3 arc min. For the HXT, with focal length 12 m, the effective area at 1 arc min off-axis from the optical axis is about 80% at 50 to 60 keV. Therefore, the vignetting at 1 arc min off-axis is about 20%. The mutual alignment to enable both SXS and HXI to observe an object right at the optical axes of the telescopes took a lot of effort. As a result, the pointing of an object at the center of the SXS instrument gave images right at the expected positions for the SXI, HXI1, and HXI2 instruments.

Since Hitomi was in an LEO, with the attitude pointed to a direction in the inertial frame, the satellite conditions change throughout one orbit. The temperature gradient changes depending on the day and night phases, and the albedo from the earth and also gravity gradient on the spacecraft change largely in the orbit. To fulfill the requirement of the pointing control accuracy of ~60 arc sec, the thermal design needs to be very precise. The temperatures measured by Heater Control Electronics sensors at various spacecraft positions were all within the acceptable range. Also, temperature data after the start-up of mission instruments, after March 20, were mostly within 5°C of the predicted values. There are differences of 7°C in some instruments, but no data show deviations larger than 10°C.

Along with the parameter tuning operation for the satellite bus and the attitude system, we observed several x-ray sources. The main purpose of the observations was the calibration of x-ray instruments, but the sources also provided interesting scientific data. The observed sources are the Perseus cluster (February 25 to 27, March 4 to 8), N132D (March 8 to 11),...
IGR J16318-4848 (March 11 to 15), RX J1856-3754 (March 17 to 19, 23 to 25), G21.5-0.9 (March 19 to 23), and the Crab Nebula (March 25). The SXS was operational before the Perseus cluster observation, and SXI started observation during the pointing to Perseus. The HXI1 and HXI2 were started on March 8 to 15 and SGD on March 16 to 25. All the instruments finished their start-up operations on the day before the loss of contact. The Hitomi data will be archived in the public archive at the DARTS (JAXA/ISAS) and HEASARC (NASA/GSFC) after 1-year propriety period that starts when the final data processing is completed.19

The first light observation of the Perseus cluster unequivocally showed the superb spectroscopic performance of the SXS by revealing the narrow line complex of He-like ion of iron around 6.7 keV from the core of the Perseus cluster.20

4 Science Instruments

Instruments onboard the Hitomi Satellite include a high-resolution, high-throughput spectrometer (SXS) sensitive over 0.3 to 12 keV with high spectral resolution of $\Delta E < 7$ eV (FWHM), enabled by a microcalorimeter array located in the focal plane of thin-foil x-ray optics; hard x-ray imaging spectrometers (HXI) covering 5 to 80 keV, located in the focal plane of multilayer-coated, focusing hard x-ray mirrors (HXT); a wide-field imaging spectrometer sensitive over 0.4 to 12 keV, with an x-ray CCD camera (SXI) in the focal plane of a SXT; and a nonfocusing Compton camera-type SGD, sensitive in the 60- to 600-keV band.

In the following sections, these instruments are briefly described. Detailed descriptions of the instruments and their current status are available in other papers.

4.1 Soft X-ray Telescopes

The SXT21–25 is very similar to the Suzaku X-ray Telescope26 but with a longer focal length of 5.6 m and a larger outer diameter of 45 cm. The SXT consists of three parts: an x-ray mirror, a stray light baffle called the pre-collimator, and a thermal shield to keep the mirror temperature at around 20°C. The mirror is a conically approximated Wolter I grazing incidence optic with 203 nested shells. Each shell is segmented into four quadrants. The flight SXT mirror assemblies [Fig. 4(a)], SXT-I for the SXI and SXT-S for the SXS, were fabricated at NASA/GSFC and delivered to JAXA. According to calibration at GSFC and ISAS, the angular resolution [half-power diameter (HPD)] is 1.3 and 1.2 arc min for the SXT-I and SXT-S, respectively. The result obtained with SXT-S exceeds the desired goal. Effective areas were measured to be $\sim 590$ cm$^2$ at 1 keV and $\sim 430$ cm$^2$ at 6 keV. The system net effective area at 1 and 6 keV is about 250 and 300 cm$^2$ for the SXS and 370 and 350 cm$^2$ for the SXI, respectively. However, since the SXS gate valve (GV) was closed during observations, the SXS effective area was reduced by the Be window transmission and the GV obscuration. The SXS net effective area with the GV closed was about 160 cm$^2$ at 6 keV with almost no area below 2 keV.

According to the in-flight data, the SXT-I and SXT-S were clearly focusing x-rays onto the corresponding detectors and they seemed to be working as expected. The overall effective area (flux) was examined using the Crab and the G21.5-0.9 data. Model fittings for both spectra produced a power-law photon index consistent with the previous measurements,27,28 which indicates that the effective area response was consistent with the ground calibration results.29 The measured HPD was 1.3 and 1.2 arc min for SXT-I and SXT-S, respectively. Detailed in-flight performance of the SXT is presented in other publications.30
4.2 Hard X-ray Telescopes

A depth-graded multilayer mirror reflects x-rays not only by total external reflection but also by Bragg reflection. To obtain a high reflectivity up to 80 keV, the HXT consists of a stack of multilayers with different sets of periodic length and number of layer pairs with a platinum/carbon coating. The technology of a hard x-ray focusing mirror has already been proven by the balloon programs InFOCµS (2001, 2004), HEFT (2004), SUMIT (2006), and recently with the NuSTAR satellite. The HXT consists of three parts: an x-ray mirror, a stray light baffle (pre-collimator), and a thermal shield [Fig. 4(b)]. The mirror is based on conically approximated Wolter I grazing incidence optics. The diameters of the innermost and the outermost reflectors are 120 and 450 mm, respectively. The total number of nested shells is 213. Since a telescope module is made up from three segments with an azimuthal opening angle of 120 deg each, it requires 1278 reflectors in total. Production of two flight-ready HXT mirror assemblies, HXT-1 and HXT-2, was completed in 2014. According to calibration performed using the beam line BL20B2 of the synchrotron radiation facility SPring-8, the characteristics of HXT-1 and HXT-2 are quite similar. Based on the ground calibration at the SPring-8, a collecting area of 174 cm$^2$ at 30 keV for one telescope has been achieved, resulting in a total effective area of 348 cm$^2$. The HPD of the HXTs is 1.9 arc min at 30 keV. After the launch, the characteristics of HXTs were evaluated using observational data of the Crab Nebula. The HPDs of HXT-1 and HXT-2 in the 5- to 80-keV band were 1.59 and 1.65 arc min, respectively. Note, however, that the encircled energy function (EEF) was normalized at the half peak. The in-flight EEF was normalized at $r > 4$ arc min and the data in the area $r > 4$ arc min were subtracted as background. We, therefore, reproduced the ground calibration data in the same way as the in-flight data. The reanalyzed HPDs at 30 keV were 1.77 and 1.84 arc min for HXT-1 and HXT-2, respectively. The in-flight HPDs seem to be slightly smaller than the ground ones. However, both the in-flight and ground HPDs can have an uncertainty of 0.1 arc min. Thus, they are consistent with each other within the uncertainty. The unabsorbed flux of the Crab nebula in the 3- to 50-keV band was measured to be $3.59 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ with HXT-1 and $3.55 \times 10^{-8}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ with HXT-2. These values are slightly ($\sim 5\%$) larger than that measured with NuSTAR. Detailed in-flight performance of the HXT is presented in other publications.

4.3 Soft X-ray Spectrometer System

The SXS system consists of the SXT, the filter wheel (FW) assembly, and the SXS. The SXS is a 36-pixel system with an energy resolution of better than 7 eV between 0.3 and 12 keV. The array design for the SXS is basically the same as that for the Suzaku XRS but has larger pixel pitch and absorber size. HgTe absorbers are attached to ion-implanted Si thermistors formed on suspended Si microbeams. The 6 × 6 array of silicon thermistors on an 832-µm pitch was manufactured during the Suzaku/XRS program along with arrays with smaller pitch as an option for a larger field of view [Fig. 5(a)]. For SXS, improved heat sinking was added to the frame of the array, and HgTe absorbers with very low specific heat were attached to the pixels. The width of the individual absorbers was 819 µm. A square-cm anticoincidence detector was installed behind the microcalorimeter array in the detector assembly. The detector assembly consisted of the detector enclosure and its mechanical, thermal, and electrical interfaces, including the first stage of amplification.

The SXS detector assembly and low-temperature coolers were installed in the dewar [Fig. 5(b)]. The cooling system was required to cool the array to 50 mK with sufficient duty cycle to fulfill the SXS scientific objectives. This restriction requires extremely low heat loads. To achieve the necessary gain stability and energy resolution, the cooling system must regulate the detector temperature, to within 2 µK rms over intervals of about half an hour, for at least 24 h/cycle. From the detector stage to room temperature, the cooling chain is composed of a three-stage adiabatic demagnetization refrigerator (ADR), superfluid liquid $^4$He (hereafter LHe), a $^4$He Joule–Thomson (JT) cryocooler, and two-stage Stirling cryocoolers. To obtain a good performance for bright sources, an FW assembly, which includes a wheel with selectable filters and a set of modulated x-ray sources, were provided by SRON.
the University of Geneva. This was placed at a distance of 90 cm from the detector. The FW is able to rotate a suitable filter into the beam to optimize the quality of the data, depending on the source characteristics. In addition to the filters, a set of on-off-switchable x-ray calibration sources, using a light-sensitive photocathode, were available. With these calibration sources, the energy scale could be calibrated with a typical 1- to 2-eV accuracy, allowing proper gain and linearity calibration of the detector in-flight.

All SXS components except for the SXS power distributor (SXS-DIST) were powered off at launch (cold launch). The first critical operation was to re-establish the He plumbing in space. Quickly after the fairing opened, the He vent valve was opened, which was confirmed by the telemetry during the first contact 50 min after the launch. We next focused on starting the cooling chain. On day 0, we started two shield coolers at the full wattage and two precoolers (PC) at a low wattage. On day 1, the PCs were also ramped up to the full wattage. By day 4, the JT cooler was also ramped up step by step. On day 5, the ADR cool down was started and the sensor was cooled to 50 mK. After that, the cooling chain worked without any problem until the end of the mission by repeating ADR recycles periodically and continuously operating the cryocoolers.

The signal chain was also started within the first week. On day 2, both the analog and digital signal processors were started (called XBOX and PSP, respectively). After the initial check and the parameter setting, the first noise measurement was performed on day 2 at a warm detector temperature and on day 5 at the 50-mK temperature.

The SXS was ready for observations by as early as day 6. On day 7, the spacecraft was pointed to the Perseus cluster, and we observed x-rays from an astronomical target for the first time. Although the pointing was offset from the cluster core by a few arc minutes, we obtained sufficient counts to make an offset correction based on our own count rate map within a few cycles. On day 8, an adjustment maneuver was made toward the core of the cluster for a longer exposure time. The detector NXB level measured during the Earth occultation was very low (<1.0 × 10⁻³ cts s⁻¹ keV⁻¹).

After the EOB extension, we started commissioning the FW electronics, which was the last SXS subsystem to be powered. The FW was rotated on day 30 to provide x-ray illumination of the whole array by ⁵⁵Fe sources. The resulting calibration data set was used to refine the gain scales and measure the energy resolutions of the individual pixels. Figure 6(a) is a histogram of the distribution of resolutions measured across the array. The composite resolution of the whole array was 4.9 eV (FWHM) [Fig. 6(b)].

What remained to be done during the commissioning phase but was not completed were: (i) the start-up of the modulated x-ray source, (ii) opening of the GV and the start of the temperature control of the dewar main shell filter, and (iii) the final setting of the event threshold. The cryogen-free operation using the third stage ADR, which was planned in later phase of the mission, was also not carried out.

4.4 Soft X-ray Imager

X-ray sensitive silicon CCDs are key detectors for x-ray astronomy. The low background and high-energy resolution combined with wide field of view achieved with the Suzaku XIS clearly shows that the x-ray CCD can also play a very important role in the mission. The soft x-ray imaging system consists of an x-ray mirror, the SXI-I, and a CCD camera, the SXI. Figure 7(a) shows a photograph of the SXI detector. The SXI camera contains a cold plate on which four CCDs are placed. The cold plate is connected to two identical single-stage Stirling coolers.

Start-up operation of SXI began from March 2, 2016. The CCD temperature reached the nominal value, −110°C, on March 7, and we started data acquisition in the event mode. One of the Stirling coolers was used to cool down the CCDs. Fine control of the temperature was performed with heaters attached to the cold plate, and we confirmed the same level of temperature stability as that during the ground tests. We employed a charge injection technique from the beginning of the operation to cope with the decrease of the charge transfer efficiency due to radiation damage. Artificial charge was injected from the top of columns of the CCDs at every 160 rows.
We confirmed that the noise level of CCDs was about 5 to 7 e− rms, the same as that of the ground tests. We also confirmed that the amount of the injected charge was not changed from the ground test.

The first target was the Perseus cluster of galaxies. As shown in Fig. 8(a), the cluster image is offset from the SXI center, because the CCDs were placed to have an offset relative to the aim point of SXT-I by 5 arc min to avoid the CCD gaps. Two calibration sources of $^{55}$Fe are also seen as semicircular shapes on top and bottom centers. Initial performance of the SXI was checked using these calibration source data and the Perseus data. The gain and the energy resolution of the CCDs were found to be roughly consistent with the ground data. Thus, we confirmed that the SXI functioned properly on orbit. We accumulated background data from the source-free regions of the SXI field of view. In the case of Suzaku XIS(BI), non-x-ray background NXB increased rapidly above 6 keV. Such an increase of NXB almost disappeared for the SXI due to the thick depletion layer (200 μm) compared to that of the XIS(BI) (∼42 μm). Furthermore, the Ni K lines in the NXB spectrum became much weaker while the Au L lines became more prominent.

### 4.5 Hard X-ray Imager

There are two HXI sensor modules: HXI1-S and HXI2-S [Fig. 7(b)]. The imager part of the HXI consists of four layers of 0.5-mm-thick double-sided silicon strip detectors (DSSD) and one layer of newly developed 0.75-mm-thick CdTe double-sided cross-strip detector (CdTe-DSD). In this configuration, soft x-ray photons below ∼30 keV are absorbed in the Si part, and hard x-ray photons above ∼30 keV go through the Si part.
part and are detected by the CdTe part. To reduce the background, the sensor part is surrounded by a thick active shield. The HXI achieved a low background level of $\sim 9.1 \times 10^{-4}$ cts s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ throughout their energy band of 5 to 80 keV, except for at a few energy region of activation lines in CdTe, where the flux is normalized by the geometrical size of the detector, 10 cm$^2$, which is the lowest level ever achieved in orbit. Due to the optimum design of the HXT and the long focal length of 12 m, the effective area was also the largest among the hard x-ray imaging spectroscopy instruments, flown to date. The HXI showed the potential to provide the highest sensitivity in this energy band, especially for diffuse sources.

### 4.6 Soft Gamma-Ray Detector

Figure 7(c) shows a photograph of the SGD detector module. The SGD measures soft $\gamma$-rays via reconstruction of Compton scattering in the semiconductor Compton camera, covering an energy range of 60 to 600 keV with a sensitivity at 300 keV, being 10 times better than that of the Suzaku Hard X-ray Detector by adopting a new concept of a narrow-FOV Compton telescope, combining Compton cameras and active well-type shields. There are two SGD sensor modules: SGD1 and SGD2. The Compton camera is made of 32 layers of 0.625-mm-thick Si pad detectors and 8 layers of 0.75-mm-thick CdTe pad detectors. The side of Si detectors is also surrounded by two layers of CdTe detectors. In the Si/CdTe Compton camera, events involving the incident $\gamma$-ray being scattered in the Si detectors and fully absorbed in the CdTe detectors are used for Compton imaging. The direction of the $\gamma$-ray is calculated by solving the Compton kinematics with information concerning deposit energies and interaction positions recorded in the detectors. In principle, each layer could act not only as a scattering part but also as an absorber part. A very compact, high-angular resolution (fineness of image) camera is realized by fabricating semiconductor imaging elements made of Si and CdTe, which have excellent performance in position resolution, high-energy resolution, and high-temporal resolution.

The camera was then mounted inside the bottom of a well-type active shield, made of BGO scintillators. The major advantage of employing a narrow FOV is that the direction of incident $\gamma$-rays is constrained to be inside the FOV. If the Compton cone, which corresponds to the direction of incident gamma rays, does not intercept the FOV, we can reject the event as background. Most of the background can be rejected by requiring this condition. The opening angle provided by the BGO shield is $\sim 10$ deg at 500 keV. An additional PCuSn collimator restricts the field of view of the telescope to 33' for photons below
100 keV, to minimize the flux due to the cosmic x-ray background in the FOV.

The power-on operation of SGD-DE, SGD-DPU, and SGD-AE was performed on March 1, 2016. After this operation, SGD HK telemetries, including temperature monitors of SGD-S, were generated. Then, the SGD-S was gradually cooled down to \(-25^\circ C\) from March 3 to 13 by changing the SGD heater settings. Start-up operation of SGD-S began on March 15, 2016. First, Compton cameras, APD CSAs for BGO readout, and HV modules for Compton cameras and APDs were powered on, and then we applied the high-voltage step by step and set up detectors into the nominal observation mode. From March 21, SGD1-S had been operated in the nominal observation mode, and SGD2-S was shifted into the nominal observation on March 24, 2016. Basic performance of the SGD was confirmed in the Crab observation, albeit with very short exposure.

5 Summary
Carrying onboard the two types of x-ray optics and the four types of detectors, Hitomi (ASTRO-H) was designed to provide exciting wideband and high-energy-resolution data on various high-energy astrophysical objects. Its one month of operation in orbit demonstrated that many of the technologies introduced to the Hitomi mission worked well. In fact, all instruments produced data with satisfactory quality, though for a short period, until the loss of spacecraft capability. In particular, the acquired data clearly verified the key properties of the SXS, i.e., the high spectral resolution (4.9-eV FWHM) for both point and diffuse sources over a broad 0.3- to 12-keV bandpass, with the low non-x-ray background (<1.0 x 10^{-3} cts s^{-1} keV^{-1}). Although the GV was not opened, the effective area was consistent with the designed value, indicating that an effective area of 400 cm² at 1 keV and 300 cm² at 6 keV would be achieved if the GV was open. Hitomi has, thus, opened the door to a generation of x-ray astronomy; forthcoming scientific papers based on the limited amount of data will clearly show the power of this challenging mission.
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