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Abstract. We performed the feasibility study using speckle variance optical coherence tomography (SvOCT) to monitor the thermally induced protein denaturation and coagulation process as a function of temperature and depth. SvOCT provided the depth-resolved image of protein denaturation and coagulation with microscale resolution. This study was conducted using egg white. During the heating process, as the temperature increased, increases in the speckle variance signal was observed as the egg white proteins coagulated. Additionally, by calculating the cross-correlation coefficient in specific areas, denaturized egg white conditions were successfully estimated. These results indicate that SvOCT could be used to monitor the denaturation process of various proteins. © 2016 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.21.12.125004]
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1 Introduction

Biological tissues consist of various large polymers such as proteins, lipids, and carbohydrates. In particular, proteins are vital components that are responsible for maintaining physiological activities such as chemical reactions, cell activities, immune responses, and so on.1,2 Normally, proteins remain as stable three-dimensional structures with their specific functions. When external stress such as strong acid, radiation, and heat is applied to proteins, their stable structure experiences transformation. This phenomenon is called denaturation, which induces disruptions of activities and changes of physical properties of biological tissue.1,4 Therefore, the ability to assess the state of protein denaturation and coagulation would offer crucial physiological information. Although various techniques have been investigated for monitoring the protein denaturation process by measuring biochemical reaction, heat capacity, differential absorbance of polarized light, thermal conductivity, etc., the studies focused on investigating the detection of limited conformational variance in the protein.5–8

There are new approaches to monitor the denaturation process based on conventional imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound imaging (USI), and florescence spectroscopy (FRS).9–11 These techniques have advantages and disadvantages for monitoring the denaturation process. For instance, MRI can show a large field of view with high contrast; however, MRI requires long-time data acquisition and is relatively costly. USI enables us to provide real-time acoustic imaging and has relatively low cost; however, low resolution and coupling medium (i.e., water and gel) are the drawbacks. FRS has high spatial resolution and spectroscopic information, but requires additional contrast agents for labeling samples and is limited to visualizing the depth-resolved information.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a powerful optical imaging technique for visualizing microscale cross-sectional morphological and functional tissue characteristics without sacriﬁcing biological tissue.12 Thanks to its high spatial resolution, high-speed imaging, and highly ﬂexible setup, it has become a main tool for diverse medical diagnosis ﬁelds such as ophthalmology, dermatology, oncology, and gastroenterology.13–17 Moreover, these advantages also have an important role in being adaptable for nondestructive inspections for various thin-layered products, monitoring material conditions, and basic science research.18–21 OCT techniques have been especially used to monitor the changes to biological tissues under external stress. For instance, Choi et al.22 monitored the freezing of biological tissue during cryosurgery. Greco et al.23 estimated the collapse temperature of pharmaceutical formulations under a freeze and drying process. Koinzer et al.24 compared both retinal temperature rise and OCT legion classes of retina during laser irradiation. Those approaches, which observed tissue property changes through OCT images, used thermal coupler and optoacoustic measurements to determine and calibrate the tissue temperature information. As an alternative method, Seevararnam et al.25 reported envelope statistics for OCT signals to quantify temperature changes of a phantom under a heating condition. Another method used the phase information from the OCT imaging. Spaehr et al.26 reported phase sensitive OCT for photothermal expansion imaging in a multilayered phantom with an absorber under laser irradiation. This technique was usually utilized in photothermal imaging with a specific absorber (i.e., gold nanorod).27
Speckle variance optical coherence tomography (SvOCT) has recently received the spotlight as a new microangiography technique for mapping microvasculatures in specific-depth blood vessels without contrast agents. In principle, the changes of speckle pattern with time induced by moving molecules in biological tissue can be quantitatively acquired by estimating speckle variances between frames or lines.

In this paper, we aim to demonstrate the feasibility of SvOCT as a protein denaturation and coagulation process-monitoring method. This approach is based on the fact that when an external stimulation is applied to a biological tissue, speckle pattern changes in SvOCT image can be observed. These changes can be detected and quantitatively analyzed to quantify the state of protein denaturation. To understand the heat diffusion and the temperature gradient in our setup, we performed the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) modeling. By applying heat to the aluminum housing containing egg white, we monitored and analyzed temperature distribution within the egg white. The transformation of egg white was analyzed by two quantitative methods: (1) speckle variances analysis and (2) cross-correlation analysis of different regions of interest (ROIs) from SvOCT images at different heating temperatures.

2 Experimental Setup and Materials

2.1 OCT System Setup

To perform speckle variance OCT imaging, an in-house developed swept source optical coherence tomography system was used (Fig. 1). A commercial swept source original equipment manufacturer (OEM) engine (Axsun Technologies, Inc.) with a center wavelength of ∼1060 nm, full width sweeping bandwidth of ∼100 nm, and repetition rate of 100 kHz was used in the system. As shown in Fig. 1, the laser output was coupled to a 75:25 optical fiber coupler (TW1064R3A2A, Thorlabs). Twenty-five percentage of the laser was focused on a sample with an objective lens (AC127-019-C, Thorlabs); 75% of the laser went to a transmissive reference arm. Polarization control- lers (FPC020, Thorlabs) were utilized to match the polarization conditions of both arms. The reference and signal were mixed by a 50:50 coupler and detected by a balanced detector. The mixed signal was digitalized by a high-speed digitizer (500 MSPS, 12-bit resolution) imbedded in the OEM engine and captured by a frame grabber (PCIe-1433, National Instruments). Parallel processing with a graphics processing unit (GTX950, NVIDIA) was used for high-speed imaging processing, including fast fourier transform (FFT) and background noise removal.

B-scan OCT images composed of 1024 × 1024 pixels along X- and Z-axes, respectively, were displayed at a frame rate of 100 Hz. A galvo scanner (GVS001, Thorlabs) was used for B-scan OCT imaging. The scanning range was 300 μm. The output power from the sample probe was ~2.5 mW. The measured spatial resolutions were 6.7 μm axially and 8.5 μm laterally. The field of depth was approximately 890 μm. The number of 100 B-scan OCT images was recorded at the same scanning region during approximately 1 s. After receiving the OCT raw data, we processed and extracted speckle variances and correlation values.

2.2 Experimental Conditions

Carefully separated normal egg white from egg yolk, which contains about 10% proteins in weight, was prepared in an aluminum small bowl to monitor its denaturation process. A hot plate (Fisher Scientific, 11-100-100H) and an IR thermometer (FLIR TG165, FLIR) were used to heat the sample and to monitor temperature changes in the sample. Although imaging was done continuously during the heating process, the sample was analyzed at 16 different temperatures: 53.4°C, 56.1°C, 59.0°C, 61.5°C, 64.9°C, 67.6°C, 70.3°C, 76.4°C, 78.4°C, 81.8°C, 83.8°C, 85.1°C, 87.4°C, 89.1°C, 91.7°C, and 92.2°C. We measured the sample temperature using an FLIR infrared thermometer at 30 s interval while acquiring OCT data.

2.3 FDTD Simulation Setup

To conduct the FDTD modeling for estimating heat transfer and temperature distribution in our setup, we used the COMSOL (COMSOL 5.1, COMSOL, Inc.) program. We simplified the simulation by ignoring one of the transverse direction due to the symmetry of our experimental setup. Figure 2(a) shows the two-dimensional simulated structure, which includes an aluminum bowl, egg white, and air. We assumed that the heat is induced evenly along the bottom line. The dimension of the aluminum bowl (medium 1) is 12 (width) × 8 (height) mm, and has an inner rectangular space that has 8 (width) × 2 (height) mm from the top surface. The egg white medium was located in the inner space of the aluminum bowl (medium 2) with 8 (width) × 1 (height) mm. The outside is regarded as air. Table 1 shows the design parameters of FDTD simulation. The values (i.e., heat capacity, thermal conductivity, and density) of aluminum came from the COMSOL library. The values of egg white were obtained from Ref. 32. Because the above parameter values of egg white are varied by different temperature conditions, the values of egg white were obtained by interpolation. Table 2 shows the design parameters of OCT imaging.
conditions, we have selectively used proper values according to the temperature level. The initial temperature and heating temperature were set at 25°C and 120°C, respectively.

2.4 Speckle Variance and Cross-Correlation Calculation

The speckle variance values were obtained by calculating the interframe variance ($SV_{ijk}$) of the number ($N$) of B-scan OCT structural pixel intensity ($I_{ijk}$) at the same region of interest based on the following equation:

$$SV_{ijk} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ I_{ijk}(x,z) - I_{mean} \right]^2,$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (I_{ijk} - I_{mean})^2,$$  \hspace{2cm} (1)

$i$, $j$, and $k$ indicate the indices of B-scan image, lateral location, and depth location of B-scan images, respectively. $N$ is the number of B-scan images used in speckle variance calculation. $I_{ijk}$ means the OCT signal intensity at pixel ($j$, $k$) at the $i$'th B-scan image. $I_{mean}$ is the temporal averaged intensity at the same point. In this experiment, the averaged 100 B-scan images for speckle variance analysis and selected ROIs' pixels were 900 $\times$ 150 along the X- and Z-directions, respectively. To determine the similarity of ROIs in SvOCT images, the cross-correlation coefficient ($r$) of speckle variances between two SvOCT A-lines in the one SvOCT image was calculated using the following equation:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{p} (x_{p} - m_{x})(y_{p+q} - m_{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{p} (x_{p} - m_{x})^2 \cdot \sum_{p} (y_{p+q} - m_{y})^2}},$$  \hspace{2cm} (2)

where $m_{x}$ and $m_{y}$ are the averaged values of the selected discrete A-scan data ($x_{p}$ and $y_{p}$). $p$ and $q$ are the indices of A-scan data.

3 Results

3.1 FDTD Simulation for Heat Transfer and Temperature Distribution

Figures 2(b)--2(f) summarize the result of the FDTD simulation for the time-resolved temperature distribution and the heat flux.
Figures 2(b′)–2(f′) show magnified images of the black-dotted rectangular region in Figs. 2(b)–2(f). They provide the estimated temperature distribution of the egg white as the temperature increases. Over the elapsed time (from t1 to t5), the heat flux spreads out from the bottom to the top area, then the whole of the aluminum bowl area reaches a highest temperature level at t5 (i.e., 120°C) rapidly. The change of temperature in egg white area was relatively slower than the aluminum bowl area due to its low thermal conductivity. For instance, in Figs. 2(e) and 2(e′), the temperature level of the aluminum bowl already reached the maximum level, but the bottom of the egg white area became only 103.1°C. The simulated temperature distribution range in the egg white area along the depth direction at t1, t2, t3, t4, and t5 are 58.2°C to 35.6°C, 78.4°C to 47.1°C, 94.5°C to 52.7°C, 103.1°C to 56.8°C, and 116.8°C to 72.1°C, respectively.

### 3.2 OCT and SvOCT Images as a Function of Heating Temperatures

Figure 3 shows intensity-based B-scan OCT images (a) and SvOCT images (b) of normal egg white during the heating. As shown in Fig. 3(a), as temperature increased, the thin coagulated protein layer on the surface region became thicker. Additionally, OCT intensity became increased from the bottom since 61.5°C and then the whole OCT imaging area became bright due to the enhancement of OCT intensity since 83.8°C. In the SvOCT images [Fig. 3(c)], there were no changes of speckle variance at 53.4°C and 59.0°C without an OCT intensity saturated area. However, increase of the speckle variance signals was detected in the bottom of the SvOCT image at 61.5°C. By increasing temperature, increased areas of the speckle variance signals were extended to the whole imaging area.

### 3.3 Quantitative Analyses

Figure 4(a) shows five ROIs selected in the SvOCT image at 76.4°C. Five yellow dotted squares indicate different depth ROIs. To avoid the influence of a saturated OCT intensity signal on the surface, the surface area (i.e., 0 to 150 μm) was excluded in quantification analysis. From 150 μm below the surface, we divided five ROIs with the same depth range (i.e., 150 μm). Then, we extracted the averaged value, the standard deviation of OCT signal, speckle variance, and cross-correlation coefficient in each five ROIs. First of all, the OCT intensity enhancement at different ROIs was investigated [Fig. 4(b)]. The OCT intensity in the whole area showed approximately 400% enhancement while increasing temperature. Especially, the OCT intensity in ROI5 increased much faster than in the other ROIs from 61.5°C to 81.8°C. In contrast, the OCT intensity in ROI1, ROI2, and ROI3 were relatively maintained by 76.4°C. Then, the OCT intensity in ROI2 and ROI3 suddenly increased at 78.4°C. In particular, the OCT intensity in ROI1 increased only after reaching 81.8°C. Above 81.8°C, OCT intensity in all ROIs showed increased signal trend.

Figure 4(c) shows the speckle variance enhancement in five ROIs as a function of temperature. From the result, we can classify three stages. The different speckle variance-enhancing patterns were observed at each stage. Speckle variance enhancement in all ROIs increased up to 20% in this stage. In stage 2 (i.e., 59.0°C to 78.4°C), three different speckle variance-enhancing patterns appeared at each ROI. Speckle variances of all ROIs showed early enhancement at 61.5°C from the bottom area. Measured speckle variance enhancement at 61.5°C in ROI1, ROI2, ROI3, ROI4, and ROI5 were 83.2 ± 7.5%, 79.7 ± 7.4%, 101.9 ± 9.7%, 117.6 ± 10.2%, and 161.8 ± 13.1%, respectively. As temperature increased, only the speckle variance signal in ROI4 and ROI5 (i.e., 600 to 900 μm) increased, generally by 78.4°C. The enhanced speckle variances at 78.4°C were measured as 523.2 ± 46.6% and 552.2 ± 43.5%, respectively. Meanwhile, the speckle variance enhancement in ROI2 and ROI3 (i.e., 300 to 600 μm) remained almost constant and decreased slightly until reaching 76.4°C. The maintained values at 76.4°C in ROI2 and ROI3 are 111.3 ± 8.2% and 182.1 ± 17.3%, respectively. After arriving at 78.4°C, these speckle variance signals suddenly increased by 376.7 ± 30.1% and
488.7 ± 44.3%, respectively. However, the speckle variance signal in ROI1 (i.e., 150 to 300 μm) did not increase by 78.4°C. The measured speckle variance enhancement at 78.4°C was 95.9 ± 11.9%. In stage 3 (i.e., 78.4°C to 92.2°C), speckle variances in all ROIs showed a fluctuation and saturated signal-enhancing pattern. In particular, speckle variance signals in ROI1 showed an increase only from 81.8°C (251.1 ± 29.7%) to 83.8°C (317.6 ± 32.6%), and then fluctuated and saturated.

Figure 4(d) shows the calculated cross-correlation coefficient at five ROIs at different heating temperatures. This result was consistent with the speckle variance changes; however, several different patterns were detected. These values also were classified as three stages. In stage 1 (i.e., 53.4°C to 59.0°C), small increases of the cross-correlation coefficient values were captured in all ROIs. The calculated coefficient values at 59.0°C in ROI1, ROI2, ROI3, ROI4, and ROI5 were 0.37 ± 0.09, 0.33 ± 0.08, 0.44 ± 0.07, 0.33 ± 0.07, and 0.38 ± 0.08, respectively. There was a 17% enhancement in value compared with the initial coefficient value. In stage 2 (i.e., 59.0°C to 78.4°C), although there were different degrees of increase in absolute coefficient values at each ROI, the cross-correlation coefficients in all ROIs suddenly increased from 59.0°C to 61.5°C. The cross-correlation coefficient values at 61.5°C in each ROI were calculated as 0.61 ± 0.07, 0.64 ± 0.06, 0.72 ± 0.05, 0.75 ± 0.04, and 0.79 ± 0.05, respectively. There was approximately 90% enhancement compared with the values at 59.0°C. Then, the cross-correlation coefficient values in ROI4 and ROI5 showed a generally increased pattern by 78.4°C. They were calculated as 0.95 ± 0.02 and 0.94 ± 0.03, respectively, which almost closed to “1.” The cross-correlation coefficient values in ROI1, ROI2, and ROI3, however, showed different changing patterns. The coefficient value of ROI3 had a totally increased pattern by 78.4°C (0.94 ± 0.01) with a small signal dropping at 70.3°C (0.71 ± 0.05). The correlation values in ROI1 and ROI2 showed increased values at 64.9°C (ROI1, 0.69 ± 0.07; ROI2, 0.73 ± 0.05) and decreased values at 76.4°C (ROI1, 0.53 ± 0.08; ROI2, 0.63 ± 0.05). Then, they finally increased with different increasing slopes at 78.4°C (ROI1, 0.63 ± 0.09; ROI2, 0.91 ± 0.02). In stage 3 (i.e., 78.4°C to 92.2°C), all cross-correlation coefficient values of ROIs showed saturation to “1” except the correlation value of ROI1 at 81.8°C (0.93 ± 0.02). After temperature reached 81.81°C, speckle variance of all ROIs showed the saturated pattern, which indicated that the denaturation and coagulation processes were stabilized.

**4 Discussion**

Changed OCT intensity information can be used to discriminate and assess the structure change in the interested region (i.e., cancerous tumor, blood coagulation); however, quantitative analysis based on OCT intensity is limited to relative assessment of change in the tissue morphology and does not provide direct information about the tissue temperature. Thus, we performed...
the speckle variance calculation and directly related the value to the tissue temperature. The change of condition of OCT speckle is an indicator of the status of scatterers within the sample. Therefore, speckle pattern changes over time reflect physical changes of the scatterers.35 High speckle variances indicate more stabilized molecule movements. Additionally, by calculating the correlation between SvOCT signals, the condition of the scatterers may be estimated. As speckle variances get stabilized and stable—which indicates low activity/movement of the scatterers—the correlation coefficient between the temporally adjacent images approaches to “1.” In our case, by tracking speckle variances and calculating their correlation coefficient in different heating temperatures, we can estimate the condition of the inner egg white-induced thermal denaturation and coagulation.

Egg white proteins are composed of approximately ovalbumin (60%), conalbumin (13%), and others.36 Conalbumin is the earliest heat denaturated egg white protein at 62°C, at which the liquid state of the white egg begins to bond and aggregate through intermolecular interaction. Thus, conalbumin plays an important role in initiating the denaturation and coagulation process of egg white. Ovalbumin is regarded as the crucial composition related to egg white functions. By being exposed to heating, egg white becomes a stabilized gel structure type via intermolecular reactions. Ovalbumin is denatured at 84°C.37 Therefore, our result—that shows the onset of speckle variance changes at 53°C to 92°C—is consistent with the principal structural rearrangement of egg white protein around 60°C to 90°C.

Based on the FDTD simulation result, which shows temperature distribution in egg white, we can estimate the tissue denaturation since egg white begins the denaturation process above a specific temperature level (i.e., 62°C). In particular, the bottom area of egg white in Figs. 2(b) and 2(b′) reaches to approximately 60°C, which means denaturation and coagulation process begin at the bottom. The middle depth area in Figs. 2(c) and 2(c′) became 61.4°C, which implies that half of the egg white is denaturalized. Finally, all egg white areas would be denaturalized in Figs. 2(f) and 2(f′). These SvOCT data shown in Fig. 3 consistently demonstrated the same heat transfer result that is estimated in the simulation. Especially, temperature distributions at r1 [Figs. 2(b) and 2(b′)] and r2 [Figs. 2(c) and 2(c′)] are matched to SvOCT images at 64.9°C and 76.4°C in Fig. 3(b).

OCT intensity images at different heating temperatures [Fig. 2(a)] and quantitative analysis at different ROIs [Fig. 3(b)] show the signal enhancement by increasing the temperature. As small compositions in egg white were aggregated and bonded after 62°C, the size of scatterers in the egg white enlarged, which induced enhancement of the OCT intensity signal by about 400%. Thus, OCT intensity images in Fig. 2(a) can estimate the distribution of the thermal stress inner egg white as depth-resolved information. The OCT intensity enhancement extended to the whole imaging area from the bottom. Additionally, OCT intensity-enhancing profiles [Fig. 3(b)] in a specific temperature range (i.e., 59.0 to 78.4°C) also showed similar signal enhancement at different depths. However, there were inconsistent changes of OCT intensity at different ROIs above 81.8°C. Additionally, the egg white changed from transparent tissue to highly scattering white, which greatly influenced the OCT intensity change. Thus, OCT intensity-based tissue change estimation was not sufficient for proper assessment of the egg white denaturation and coagulation process.

SvOCT images [Fig. 2(b)] with different heating temperatures can also provide the distribution of thermal stress in egg white. As heating temperature increased, the early denaturation was observed in the bottom area at 61.5°C. Then, the denaturation and coagulation process spread throughout the whole imaging area at 83.8°C and stabilized at the above temperatures. From two different quantitatative analyses [Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)], we can acquire more detailed denaturation and coagulation process information at different depth ROIs by dividing three stages. In stage 1 (i.e., 53.4°C to 59.0°C), even though there were no significant enhancements of speckle variance (20%) and cross-correlation coefficient (17%) in both results, small signals and values enhancement could be regarded as the initial preparation state for denaturation. In stage 2 (i.e., 59.0°C to 78.4°C), speckle variance in all ROIs started to show speckle variance changes at 61.5°C, and the values of the cross-correlation coefficient also changed with 90% enhancement at 61.5°C compared to the previous temperature (i.e., 59.0°C). These results almost matched the starting temperature of conalbumin denaturation (i.e., 62°C). The speckle variance and coefficient value in ROI4 and ROI5 showed a generally increasing trend by 78.4°C, which implies the smooth change of characteristics of the egg white compositions in 600 to 900 μm. In contrast, a sudden increase of speckle variance signal in ROI3 and ROI4 at 78.4°C means that characteristics change in 300 to 600 μm at 78.4°C after the first denaturation process of conalbumin at 61.5°C. Moreover, the cross-correlation values also support this estimation with value decline and increase pattern, which indicates the mixed condition of liquid and gel inner egg white. ROI1’s speckle variance maintenance by 78.4°C indicates that the subsurface layer (150 to 300 μm) was less affected by thermal stress. It is also estimated as the mixed condition of liquid and gel as following the cross-correlation coefficient values similar to the changing pattern with ROI2 and ROI3. In stage 3 (i.e., 78.4°C to 92.2°C), all ROIs show speckle variance signal fluctuation and saturated pattern. From these results, we cannot estimate the correct situations of the inner egg white. Fortunately, the cross-correlation values at all ROIs above 81.8°C went to “1.” This indicated that the condition of the inner egg white in all ROIs was stabilized. Theoretically, the changes of egg white will be stabilized in these temperature ranges after completing all denaturation and coagulation processes.35 Therefore, we successfully estimate the states of the inner egg white during increasing temperature using SvOCT images, speckle variance, and cross-correlation coefficient values.

5 Conclusion

We successfully demonstrated that the change of egg white protein denaturation and coagulation processing can be monitored using SvOCT for heating the sample from 53.4°C to 92.2°C. Those results are well matched to previously reported denaturation and coagulation of egg white.35 Compared to other monitoring methods, our SvOCT method can provide the depth-resolved denaturalized process with a high depth resolution. Moreover, by presenting quantitative analyses of SvOCT images’ different depth regions, we validated the performance of SvOCT as a new monitoring tool of the denaturation and coagulation process. Based on our feasibility results, we expect that it will contribute to monitoring several lasers and thermal-induced therapy in clinical fields including dermatology and ophthalmology.38,39
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