Optical detection of singlet oxygen produced by fatty acids and phospholipids under ultraviolet A irradiation

Jürgen Baier Tim Maisch Johannes Regensburger Claudia Pöllmann Wolfgang Bäumler University of Regensburg Department of Dermatology 93042 Regensburg, Germany Abstract. Ultraviolet A (UVA) radiation has been known to generate reactive oxygen species, such as singlet oxygen, in skin, leading to the oxidation of lipids and proteins. This oxidation influences cellular metabolism and can trigger cellular signaling cascades, since cellular membranes and the stratum corneum contain a substantial amount of fatty acids and lipids. Using highly sensitive IR-photomultiplier technology, we investigated the generation of singlet oxygen by fatty acids and lipids. In combination with their oxidized products, the fatty acids or lipids produced singlet oxygen under UVA radiation at 355 nm that is directly shown by luminescence detection. Linolenic or arachidonic acid showed the strongest luminescence signals, followed by linoleic acid and docohexaenoic acid. The amount of singlet oxygen induced by lipids such as phosphatidylcholine was significantly higher compared to the corresponding fatty acids within phospholipids. This result indicates a synergistic process of oxygen radicals and singlet oxygen during irradiation. UVA radiation initiates singlet oxygen generation, which subsequently oxidizes other fatty acids that in turn produce additional singlet oxygen. This leads to an enhancement of UVA-induced damage of fatty acids and lipids, which must enhance the oxidative damages in cells. © 2008 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.2960553]

Keywords: ultraviolet A; singlet oxygen; luminescence; fatty acids; phospholipids. Paper 07377R received Sep. 14, 2007; revised manuscript received Feb. 25, 2008; accepted for publication Mar. 26, 2008; published online Jul. 28, 2008.

1 Introduction

The ultraviolet A (UVA) component of solar radiation (320 to 400 nm) has been shown to produce deleterious biological effects in which singlet oxygen plays a major role.¹ Therefore, exposure to UVA irradiation has been recognized as a cause of aging in eye lens proteins and as a risk factor for cataract formation.²

In revisiting the photochemistry of solar UVA in human skin, it was stated recently that the importance of UVA in skin cancer is lively discussed.^{3,4} At the same time, over 1 million cases of basal cell and squamous cell skin cancer are expected to be diagnosed in 2007.⁵ Recently, Mouret et al. found that cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers were produced at a significant yield in whole human skin exposed to UVA radiation.⁶ UVA irradiation is also responsible for the most frequent photodermatosis of the skin.⁷ However, the mechanisms by which UVA irradiation-induced photo damage occurs are not fully understood.⁸

UVA radiation is absorbed by a variety of molecules in tissue. After light absorption, some of the molecules can cross over to a long-lived state (triplet state), which allows the transfer of either energy or charge to substrate or molecular oxygen. This process generates radicals or singlet oxygen. Some of the endogenous photosensitizers in cells or tissue are identified, such as flavins,⁹ NADH/NADPH,¹⁰ urocanic acid,^{8,9} sterols,¹¹ and anthraquinones.¹² If light-absorbing molecules are not able to form such a triplet state (e.g., linear-shaped molecules), the generation of singlet oxygen may take place assisted by chemical reactions.^{1,14}

Recently, we showed that singlet oxygen was produced by phosphatidylcholine under UVA irradiation.¹⁵ Phospholipids and the respective fatty acids are major constituents of many cellular membranes. In cells, a molecule such as ceramide is a key component of stress responses. UVA radiation and singlet oxygen both generated ceramide in protein-free, sphingomyelin-containing liposomes.¹⁶ Furthermore, human skin, especially the stratum corneum, contains free saturated and unsaturated fatty acids with mostly chain lengths of C_{16} to C_{18} atoms.^{17,18} Therefore, we applied our highly sensitive IR-photomultiplier technology to detect UVA-induced singlet oxygen directly by its luminescence for different lipids and fatty acids.

Address all correspondence to: Dr. Wolfgang Bäumler, Department of Dermatology, University of Regensburg, 93042 Regensburg, Germany. Tel.: 49-941-944-9607; Fax: 49-941-944-8943; E-mail: baeumler.wolfgang@klinik.uniregensburg.de

^{1083-3668/2008/13(4)/044029/7/\$25.00 © 2008} SPIE

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Preparation of Solutions

The following substances were dissolve in EtOH: L- α -phosphatidylcholine (purity ~99%, from egg yolk); sphingomyelin (purity ~99%, from egg yolk); 1,2-distearoyl-snglycero-3-phosphocholine (purity ≥99%); 1,2-dioleoyl-snglycero-3-phosphocholine (\sim 99%); 1,2-diilinoleoyl-snglycero-3-phosphocholine (purity ≥99%); palmitic acid (purity ~99%); stearic acid (purity ~99%); oleic acid (purity ~99%) linoleic acid (purity ≥99%); linolenic acid (purity ≥99%); arachidonic acid (purity ~99%); and docosahexaenoic acid (purity ≥98%). All substances were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany).

2.2 Absorption Spectra

Transmission spectra of each probe were recorded at room temperature with a Beckman DU640 spectrophotometer (Beckman Instruments GmbH, Munich, Germany) using a quartz cuvette with an optical path of 1 cm (QS-101, Hellma Optik, Jena, Germany). The absorption values were calculated as A = 100 %-T, where T is the transmission value.

2.3 Oxygen Concentration in Solution

The oxygen concentration in solution was measured in the cuvette with a needle sensor that was placed in the cuvette (MICROX TX, PreSens GmbH, Regensburg, Germany). During the measurement, the cuvette was sealed and the oxygen concentration of the solution was determined and referred to solution with an air saturation (100%).

2.4 Luminescence Experiments

Fatty acids or lipids in solution were transferred into a cuvette (QS-101, Hellma Optik, Jena, Germany). They were excited using a frequency-tripled Nd:YAG laser (PhotonEnergy, Ottensoos, Germany) with a repetition rate of 2.0 kHz (wavelength 355 nm, pulse duration 70 ns). The laser pulse energy for luminescence experiments was 50 μ J. The singlet oxygen luminescence at 1270 nm was detected in a near-backward direction with respect to the excitation beam using an IRsensitive photomultiplier (R5509-42, Hamamatsu Photonics Deutschland GmbH, Herrsching, Germany) with a rise time of about 3 ns. Additional details of the setup were described elsewhere.¹⁹. The number of laser pulses for excitation was 40,000. The luminescence signal was detected at wavelengths of 1150, 1200, 1250, 1270 (emission maximum of singlet oxygen luminescence), 1300, 1350, or 1400 nm using appropriate interference filters in front of the photomultiplier.²

2.5 Determination of Singlet Oxygen Luminescence Decay and Rise Time

As shown in Ref. 21 the luminescence intensity is given by

$$I(t) = \frac{C}{\tau_R^{-1} - \tau_D^{-1}} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_D}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_R}\right) \right].$$
(1)

The constant C was used to fit the luminescence signal. τ_D and τ_R are the decay and rise times, respectively. To determine the rise and decay times of singlet oxygen, we used the least square fit routine of Mathematica 5.1 (Wolfram Research,

Berlin, Germany). The experimental error of the fit was estimated to be between 15% and 25% of the values that were determined by the fit. The low signal level in some samples yielded a higher error of 25%. The integral of Eq. (1) from $t=0\rightarrow\infty$ yields the luminescence energy. For a better comparison, the integral value was calculated per mol of the respective substance.

3 Results and Discussion

UVA irradiation comprises about 95% of incident midday solar UV irradiation.²². It penetrates skin much deeper than UVB irradiation. The absorption of UVA irradiation in endogenous chromophores frequently leads to the generation of reactive oxygen species such as singlet oxygen. Singlet oxygen is an important biochemical intermediate in multiple biological processes, including UVA radiation-induced gene expression in human keratinocytes and fibroblasts. It has been stated that direct detection of singlet oxygen cells does not exist. Such a detection method, however, is essential to elucidate the role of singlet oxygen in gene induction.¹⁶ Recently, we provided evidence to directly detect singlet oxygen by its weak luminescence signal at 1270 nm in living cells under UVA irradiation at 355 nm.¹⁵

Lipids and fatty acids usually are the targets of singlet oxygen that has been generated by any photosensitizer.^{23–25} However, we recently showed that singlet oxygen is generated in suspensions of egg yolk phosphatidylcholine during irradiation with UVA. This generation process seemed to be provoked by chemical reactions involving oxygen radicals.¹⁵ This was confirmed by using a quencher of a superoxide anion or hydroxyl radicals such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) or mannitol, respectively. To investigate this important phenomenon in depth, we irradiated different fatty acids or lipids under normal oxygen conditions in a solvent at 355 nm and measured singlet oxygen luminescence at 1270 nm.

3.1 Singlet Oxygen Generation in Fatty Acid Solutions

3.1.1 Absorption at 355 nm

The major prerequisite of singlet oxygen generation is the absorption of light or radiation, which is laser radiation at 355 nm in this study. After dissolving the fatty acid (0.1 mol/L) in ethanol, the absorption at 355 nm, was measured. The fatty acids without double bonds (palmitic acid, stearic acid) and oleic acid (one double bond) showed a rather low absorption cross section at 355 nm, and less than 0.5% of light was absorbed at a concentration of 0.1 mol/L. For fatty acids with more than two double bonds, the absorption of radiation was in the range of 3.8% to 12.4% (Table 1).

The radiation absorption of fatty acids should fail for wavelengths longer than 220 nm. Nevertheless, autooxidation can occur, in particular under normal oxygen conditions. Subsequently, the absorption spectrum of fatty acids such as linoleic acid exhibit another absorption maximum at about 230 nm due to peroxidation of the fatty acid and a broad absorption at 300 nm due to decomposition products (e.g., aldehydes).²⁶ Auto-oxidation can be considered an omnipresent process, in particular in living cells that contain a sufficient amount of oxygen. Baier et al.: Optical detection of singlet oxygen produced by fatty acids...

Fatty acid	Length of chain: number of double bonds ^a	Absorption at 355 nm [%]	Absorption cross section ^b at 355 nm [×10 ⁻²¹ cm ²]	Luminescence energy ^c per mol [a.u.]
Palmitic acid	16:0	0.5	0.08	3
Stearic acid	18:0	0.1	0.02	1
Oleic acid	18:1	0.1	0.02	4
Linoleic acid	18:2	5.8	1.0	37
Linolenic acid	18:3	8.6	1.5	95
Arachidonic acid	20:4	12.4	2.2	70
Docosahexaenoic acid	22:6	3.8	0.6	22

Table 1 Singlet oxygen produced by fatty acids.

^aThe number of double bonds of the different fatty acids.

^bAbsorption cross section represents the absorption coefficient divided by the respective substance concentration and the Avogadro constant.

^cThe luminescence signal is integrated over the entire time span, yielding the total number of detected photons. For better comparison, these values are expressed as luminescence energy per mol.

3.1.2 Singlet oxygen luminescence

We measured the luminescence signal at 1270 nm for the seven fatty acids. In solutions with palmitic, stearic, and oleic acids, we detected a clear but weak signal, and the respective integrated luminescence signal was in the range from 1 to 4 arbitrary units (a.u.). This is well above the noise of the detector (0.2 a.u.). Despite the very low absorption coefficient of these fatty acids at 355 nm, the detection of singlet oxygen by its luminescence was feasible (Table 1).

The irradiation of solutions of linoleic, linolenic, arachidonic, or docosahexaenoic acids yielded a noticeable luminescence signal at 1270 nm, which is shown for arachidonic acid in Fig. 1. The decay time of the luminescence signal was $14 \pm 2 \ \mu$ s, which is equivalent to the decay time of singlet oxygen in ethanol.²⁷ These results are astonishing because



Fig. 1 Time-resolved singlet oxygen luminescence. The time-resolved luminescence signal of singlet oxygen at 1270 nm for arachidonic acid in ethanol showing a decay time of $14\pm 2 \ \mu s$. For comparison, the insert shows a typical luminescence signal of singlet oxygen that has been generated by a photosensitizer via energy transfer from its triplet state (Riboflavin, H₂O, excited with 355-nm light).⁹

fatty acids should not act as a photosensitizer due to the following reasons. First, a typical photosensitizer absorbs light and populates its triplet state. Then the energy is transferred from the triplet state to oxygen, leading to the generation of singlet oxygen (type 2 mechanism). However, the fatty acids and their oxidative derivatives are rather linear molecules, and the transition to such a triplet state is not very likely. Second, in the case of a type 2 mechanism, the luminescence signal contains the triplet state deactivation of the photosensitizer (usually the rising part of the signal) and the singlet oxygen luminescence (usually the decaying part of the signal), and both instances are on a microsecond time scale.⁹ However, Fig. 1 shows a luminescence signal with an extremely fast rise time-less than a microsecond. For comparison, a luminescence signal of singlet oxygen is included that shows a typical shape for an exogenous photosensitizer. It shows a rising part of the signal and a clear maximum before decaying. In the case of fatty acids, we did not assume an energy transfer from a photosensitizer (e.g., fatty acid), but instead the involvement of a fast chemical process such as radical formation by charge transfer (type 1 mechanism). The latter was recently proven when phospholipids were investigated under UVA irradiation at 355 nm.⁴

To double check singlet oxygen generation in all fatty acids, the luminescence signal was detected at different wavelengths in the range from 1150 to 1400 nm. Figure 2 shows a characteristic spectrally resolved curve of arachidonic acid that reveals a clear maximum at 1270 nm. This corresponds to the transition of singlet oxygen at the energy of 0.98 eV (Fig. 2).

3.2 Singlet Oxygen Generation in Lipid Solutions

3.2.1 Light absorption at 355 nm

In a solution of 20 mM normal egg yolk phosphatidylcholine (L α -PC) in EtOH, 14.6% of the radiation at 355 nm was



Fig. 2 Spectrally resolved singlet oxygen luminescence. The luminescence signal measured at different wavelengths in the range from 1150 to 1400 nm. There is a clear maximum at 1270 nm that is equivalent to a singlet oxygen transition to its ground state with an energy difference of 0.98 eV.

absorbed, whereas 18.7% was absorbed in a solution of 20 mM sphingomyelin (SM) in EtOH (Table 2). Out of the three different phosphatidylcholines in solution, only 1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (LI-PC) showed a clear absorption (13.4%) at 355 nm. The lipids 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phophocholine (ST-PC) and 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phophocholine (OL-PC) absorbed less than 2% each, which is comparable to the low absorption of stearic or oleic solutions.

Similar to fatty acid solutions, the fatty acids in phospholipids can undergo auto-oxidation, producing oxidative products in the solution. This has been also shown for phospholipids containing linoleic acid.²⁶

3.2.2 Singlet oxygen luminescence

Singlet oxygen generation in aqueous or ethanol solutions of egg yolk L α -PC was recently shown.²⁰ When comparing L α -PC and sphingomyelin, L α -PC yielded twice the signal in sphingomyelin. Interestingly, the integrated luminescence signals (251 or 505 a.u.) were significantly higher than that of the linolenic acid solution, which showed the highest luminescence signal of fatty acids (see Table 1).

3.2.3 L α -PC and sphingomyelin

In L α -PC, there should be only a small contribution of palmitic, stearic, or oleic acid (and its oxidative products) to either the absorption of 355-nm radiation or to singlet oxygen generation (see Table 1). However, these molecules represent 77% of the fatty acids in L α -PC used in our experiments. In addition, in the linoleic acid solution, which counted for 15% of the constituents, there was no strong signal of singlet oxygen luminescence (Table 1). Only linolenic and arachidonic acid (less than 8% constituent) effectively contributed to the generation of singlet oxygen by this phospholipid. Based upon the results of the fatty acid solutions, the small fraction of fatty acids (8%) in L α -PC should yield about 14 a.u. for L α -PC. However, we measured 505 a.u. (Table 2), which is a factor 35 higher than expected. This includes the two fatty acids that are present in one lipid molecule.

Thus, the presence of linolenic and arachidonic acids and their oxidative products might be responsible for the absorption of 355-nm radiation (Fig. 1), but their direct contribution to singlet oxygen generation in L α -PC should be minor. To interpret the increased efficacy of singlet oxygen generation by L α -PC, we assumed the following two mechanisms. First, different oxidative products were present in L α -PC than in the respective fatty acids in solution. Second, there was an unknown synergistic effect of the polar head of the lipid molecules, leading to an enhanced generation of superoxide anions. In either case, these radicals can generate singlet oxygen by chemical reactions, as proposed by Khan et al.,¹⁴ and we added a scheme for illustration (Fig. 3).

Lipidhydroperoxidation occurs during UVA irradiation as a common process. Despite their relative stability, lipid hydroperoxides can be further decomposed by generating fatty acidperoxyl radicals and/or alkoxyl radicals, which are responsible for the propagation of lipid peroxidation and ultimately the generation of toxic compounds.²⁸ The decomposition of lipid hydroperoxides into peroxyl radicals has been shown to be a potential source of singlet oxygen in biological systems.^{1,29} In 1957, Russell proposed a self-reaction mechanism of such peroxyl radicals involving the formation of a cyclic mechanism from a linear tetraoxide intermediate that decomposed to give different products as well as singlet oxygen.³⁰ Mendenhall and colleagues demonstrated that such a self-reaction formation of peroxyl radicals deriving from fatty acids generated predominantly singlet oxygen.³¹ Therefore, generated fatty acid peroxyl radicals participate in reactions that lead to the formation of lipid fragmentation compounds.

Consequently, UVA radiation starts to cause lipidperoxidation, and the resulting products generate singlet oxygen, as shown by our luminescence experiments. These additional singlet oxygen molecules in turn cause the peroxidation of lipids, and cycles of singlet oxygen generation arise (see scheme in Fig. 3). This process leads to an enhancement of UVA-induced lipid peroxidation that should clearly affect cellular membranes, and therefore skin cell integrity.

These considerations are supported by the following results. When the irradiation of a lipid solution was continued for about 60 min, the singlet oxygen signal did not disappear, although the oxygen concentration reached the value of zero after this time span (closed cuvette). In contrast to that, in protein solutions³² and bacteria,³³ oxygen molecules and singlet oxygen luminescence disappeared very rapidly during irradiation. When oxygen was removed from the closed cuvette by adding nitrogen gas prior to UV irradiation, the luminescence signal was zero (within the experimental accuracy). This again confirms that oxygen is required right from the start to generate singlet oxygen. During the short time of singlet oxygen detection (20 s), the oxygen concentration was constant.

The conditions for singlet oxygen generation in sphingomyelin solution were comparable to that of L α -PC. About 76% of the fatty acids were palmitic or stearic acid, which hardly absorb at 355 nm. The luminescence energy per mol was smaller than in L α -PC, which might be partially due to a smaller percentage of unsaturated fatty acids. This effect should be even more pronounced, since the absorption of 355-nm radiation was higher in sphingomyelin (18.7% absorption) than in phosphatidylcholine (14.6% absorption). Baier et al.: Optical detection of singlet oxygen produced by fatty acids...

Lipid	Fatty acid constituents ^a	Absorption at 355 nm [%]	Absorption cross section ^b at 355 nm [×10 ⁻²⁰ cm ²]	Luminescence energy ^c per mol [a.u.]
L <i>α</i> -PC	33% 16:0	14.6	1.3	505
	13% 18:0			
	31% 18:1			
	15% 18:2			
	3% 20:4			
	<2% 18:3			
sphingomyelin	66% 16:0	18.7	1.7	251
	10% 18:0			
	4% 20:0			
	6% 22:0			
	5% 24:0			
	3% 24:1			
	1% 18:1			
	1% 22:1			
1,2-distearoyl-sn- glycero-3- phosphocholine	99% 18:0	1.9	0.16	32
1,2-dioleoyl-sn- glycero-3- phosphocholine	99% 18:1	0.3	0.02	17
1,2-dilinoleoyl-sn- glycero-3- phosphocholine	99% 18:2	13.4	1.2	526

Table 2 Singlet oxygen produced by phospholip	oids
--	------

^aPercentage and length of chain:number of double bonds. The sum of constituents is not complete and therefore less than 100%.

^bAbsorption cross section represents the absorption coefficient divided by the respective substance concentration and the Avogadro constant.

^cThe luminescence signal is integrated over the entire time span, yielding the total number of detected photons. For better comparison, these values are expressed as luminescence energy per mol.

3.2.4 Other phosphatidylcholines

To prove the role of fatty acids and their oxidized products in singlet oxygen generation, three different phospholipids were investigated that contained only ST-PC, OL-PC, or LI-PC. The luminescence energy per mol was weak for ST-PC and OL-PC, but again clearly higher compared to the respective fatty acid in solution (Table 1). In the case of LI-PC, the signal was high (526 a.u.) and at a level comparable to that of $L\alpha$ -PC (505 a.u.). The signal for LI-PC was about 14-fold higher than that of the free linoleic acid (37 a.u.). These results support the discussions above.

4 Conclusion

Usually, lipids and proteins in the skin are attacked by singlet oxygen, leading to peroxidation, which may cause deleterious effects in cellular systems. We have shown that fatty acids and lipids themselves, together with their oxidized products, generate singlet oxygen to a significant extent when irradiated with UVA. Saturated fatty acids generated singlet oxygen under UVA irradiation at 355 nm to some extent, the reason for which remains unknown. For unsaturated fatty acids with more than two double bonds, the absorption of 355-nm radiation and singlet oxygen generation increased, possibly due to oxidized products of the fatty acids (auto-oxidation). Linolenic and arachidonic acid yielded the highest luminescence signal for the fatty acids investigated. When the fatty acids were constituents of sphingomyelin or phosphatidylcholine, the luminescence signal increased significantly. This may indicate an unknown or a synergistic effect of fatty acids and their backbones inside the lipids. These mechanisms should



Fig. 3 Scheme of mechanisms. The scheme shows the possible mechanisms that take place during irradiation of a lipid or fatty acids with UVA. The light could be absorbed in fatty acids, in particular in its oxidized forms. Electrons are released from the molecules that lead to the formation of superoxide anions. These oxygen radicals can generate singlet oxygen.^{14,20} The same mechanism also may occur in pure fatty acids, but would obviously be less effective. The singlet oxygen generated causes peroxidation of fatty acids and lipids (vicious circle). In addition, singlet oxygen could be generated by the Russell mechanism—that is, two peroxyl radicals (LOO') would form a linear tetraoxide intermediate and decompose to the corresponding products alcohol, ketone, and singlet oxygen.¹

be elucidated in the near future. The singlet oxygen molecules generated in such solutions can then oxidize other fatty acids, leading to an enhancement of UVA-induced damage of fatty acids and lipids.

References

- S. Miyamoto, G. R. Martinez, M. H. Medeiros, and P. Di Mascio, "Singlet molecular oxygen generated from lipid hydroperoxides by the Russell mechanism: studies using 18(O)-labeled linoleic acid hydroperoxide and monomol light emission measurements," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**(20), 6172–6179 (2003).
- C. A. McCarty and H. R. Taylor, "Recent developments in vision research: light damage in cataract," *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.* 37(9), 1720–1723 (1996).
- D. Mitchell, "Revisiting the photochemistry of solar UVA in human skin," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 103(37), 13567–13568 (2006).
- K. Wertz, P. B. Hunziker, N. Seifert, G. Riss, M. Neeb, G. Steiner, W. Hunziker, and R. Goralczyk, "Beta-carotene interferes with ultraviolet light A-induced gene expression by multiple pathways," *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 124(2), 428–434 (2005).
- 5. A. Jemal, R. Siegel, E. Ward, T. Murray, J. Xu, and M. J. Thun, "Cancer statistics, 2007," *Ca-Cancer J. Clin.* **57**(1), 43–66 (2007).
- S. Mouret, C. Baudouin, M. Charveron, A. Favier, J. Cadet, and T. Douki, "Cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers are predominant DNA lesions in whole human skin exposed to UVA radiation," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103(37), 13765–13770 (2006).
- T. Hasan, F. Nyberg, E. Stephansson, P. Puska, M. Hakkinen, S. Sarna, A. M. Ros, and A. Ranki, "Photosensitivity in lupus erythematosus, UV photoprovocation results compared with history of photosensitivity and clinical findings," *Br. J. Dermatol.* **136**(5), 699–705 (1997).
- K. M. Hanson and J. D. Simon, "Epidermal trans-urocanic acid and the UV-A-induced photoaging of the skin," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 95(18), 10576–10578 (1998).
- J. Baier, T. Maisch, M. Maier, E. Engel, M. Landthaler, and W. Baumler, "Singlet oxygen generation by UVA light exposure of endogenous photosensitizers," *Biophys. J.* 91(4), 1452–1459 (2006).
- 10. R. S. Sohal and R. Weindruch, "Oxidative stress, caloric restriction,

and aging," Science 273(5271), 59-63 (1996).

- P. W. Albro, P. Bilski, J. T. Corbett, J. L. Schroeder, and C. F. Chignell, "Photochemical reactions and phototoxicity of sterols: novel self-perpetuating mechanisms for lipid photooxidation," *Photochem. Photobiol.* **66**(3), 316–325 (1997).
- Q. Xia, J. J. Yin, P. P. Fu, and M. D. Boudreau, "Photo-irradiation of aloe vera by UVA—formation of free radicals, singlet oxygen, superoxide, and induction of lipid peroxidation," *Toxicol. Lett.* 168(2), 165–175 (2007).
- K. Drexhage, "Structure and properties of laser dyes," in *Dye Lasers*, F. Schäfer, Ed., pp. 155–185, Springer, New York (1989).
- A. U. Khan and M. Kasha, "Singlet molecular oxygen in the Haber-Weiss reaction," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 91(26), 12365–12367 (1994).
- J. Baier, T. Maisch, M. Maier, M. Landthaler, and W. Baumler, "Direct detection of singlet oxygen generated by UVA irradiation in human cells and skin," *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 127(6), 1498–1506 (2007).
- S. Grether-Beck, G. Bonizzi, H. Schmitt-Brenden, I. Felsner, A. Timmer, H. Sies, J. P. Johnson, J. Piette, and J. Krutmann, "Nonenzymatic triggering of the ceramide signalling cascade by solar UVA radiation," *EMBO J.* 19(21), 5793–5800 (2000).
- M. A. Lampe, A. L. Burlingame, J. Whitney, M. L. Williams, B. E. Brown, E. Roitman, and P. M. Elias, "Human stratum corneum lipids: characterization and regional variations," *J. Lipid Res.* 24(2), 120– 130 (1983).
- M. A. Lampe, M. L. Williams, and P. M. Elias, "Human epidermal lipids: characterization and modulations during differentiation," *J. Lipid Res.* 24(2), 131–140 (1983).
- J. Baier, M. Maier, R. Engl, M. Landthaler, and W. Baumler, "Timeresolved investigations of singlet oxygen luminescence in water, in phosphatidylcholine, and in aqueous suspensions of phosphatidylcholine or HT29 cells," *J. Phys. Chem. B* 109(7), 3041–3046 (2005).
 J. Baier, T. Fuss, C. Pöllmann, C. Wiesmann, K. Pindl, R. Engl, D.
- J. Baier, T. Fuss, C. Pöllmann, C. Wiesmann, K. Pindl, R. Engl, D. Baumer, M. Maier, M. Landthaler, and W. Bäumler, "Theoretical and experimental analysis of the luminescence signal of singlet oxygen for different photosensitizers," *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B* 87(3), 163–173 (2007).
- D. Baumer, M. Maier, R. Engl, R. M. Szeimies, and W. Baumler, "Singlet oxygen generation by 9-acetoxy-2,7,12,17-tetrakis-(Betamethoxyethyl)-porphycene (ATMPn) in solution," *Chem. Phys.* 285, 309–318 (2002).
- U. Feister, E. Jakel, and K. Gericke, "Parameterization of daily solar global ultraviolet irradiation," *Photochem. Photobiol.* 76(3), 281–293 (2002).
- N. Bando, H. Hayashi, S. Wakamatsu, T. Inakuma, M. Miyoshi, A. Nagao, R. Yamauchi, and J. Terao, "Participation of singlet oxygen in ultraviolet-a-induced lipid peroxidation in mouse skin and its inhibition by dietary beta-carotene: an ex vivo study," *Free Radic Biol. Med.* 37(11), 1854–1863 (2004).
- T. Kriska, W. Korytowski, and A. W. Girotti, "Hyperresistance to photosensitized lipid peroxidation and apoptotic killing in 5-aminolevulinate-treated tumor cells overexpressing mitochondrial GPX4," *Free Radic Biol. Med.* 33(10), 1389–1402 (2002).
- M. Wrona, W. Korytowski, M. Rozanowska, T. Sarna, and T. G. Truscott, "Cooperation of antioxidants in protection against photosensitized oxidation," *Free Radic Biol. Med.* 35(10), 1319–1329 (2003).
- K. P. Coolbear and K. M. Keough, "Lipid oxidation and gel to liquidcrystalline transition temperatures of synthetic polyunsaturated mixed-acid phosphatidylcholines," *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **732**(3), 531–540 (1983).
- 27. F. Wilkinson, W. P. Helman, and A. B. Ross, "Rate constants for the decay and reactions of the lowest electronically excited singlet state of molecular oxygen in solution. An expanded and revised compilation," *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* 24, 663–1021 (1995).
- H. W. Gardner, "Oxygen radical chemistry of polyunsaturated fatty acids," *Free Radic Biol. Med.* 7(1), 65–86 (1989).
- S. Miyamoto, G. E. Ronsein, F. M. Prado, M. Uemi, T. C. Correa, I. N. Toma, A. Bertolucci, M. C. Oliveira, F. D. Motta, M. H. Medeiros, and P. D. Mascio, "Biological hydroperoxides and singlet molecular oxygen generation," *IUBMB Life* 59(4), 322–331 (2007).
- G. A. Russell, "Deuterium isotope effects in the autooxidation of aralkyl hydrocarbons. Mechanisms of the interaction of peroxy radicals.," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **79**, 3871–3877 (1957).
- 31. D. G. Mendenhall, C. Sheng, and T. Wilson, "Yields of excited car-

bonyl species from alkoxyl and from alkylperoxyl radical dismuta-

tion," J. Biomed. Opt. 12(6), 064008 (2007).

- T. Maisch, J. Baier, B. Franz, M. Maier, M. Landthaler, R. M. Szeimies, and W. Bäumler, "The role of singlet oxygen and oxygen concentration in photodynamic inactivation of bacteria," *Proc. Natl.* Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104(17), 7223-7228 (2007).
- tions, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **113**, 8976–8977 (1991).
 32. J. Baier, T. Maisch, J. Regensburger, M. Loibl, R. Vasold, and W. Baumler, "Time dependence of singlet oxygen luminescence provides an indication of oxygen concentration during oxygen consump-